UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/567,711 | 02/07/2006 | David Cladingboel | 06275-491US1 101155-1P US | 3963 |
| ²⁶¹⁶⁴ FISH & RICH <i>A</i> | 7590 02/27/200 ARDSON P.C. | 9 | EXAM | IINER |
| P.O BOX 1022 | | KOSACK, JOSEPH R | | |
| MINNEAPOLI | S, MN 55440-1022 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1626 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/27/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/567,711 | CLADINGBOEL ET AL. | | | | |
| interview Guinmary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Joseph R. Kosack | 1626 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Joseph R. Kosack</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>John Kendall</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 20 February 2009. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2 | ²)∏ applicant's representative |] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N | //A. | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner was informed that no reply was planned</u> . | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| /REI-TSANG SHIAO / Primary Examiner Art Unit 1626 | | | | | | |